

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,082		12/11/2003	James G. Lazar	2629-4036	2629-4036 1602	
27123	7590	09/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		NEGAN, L.L.P.	BRUSCA, JOHN S			
NEW YOR		IAL CENTER 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1631		
				DATE MAILED: 09/05/2000	DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/735,082	LAZAR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John S. Brusca	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	lv 2006.						
·	action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-26</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa)-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/735,082 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. In the Office action mailed 20 April 2006 the rejection of claims 13-15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph was poorly phrased. The Office regrets any inconvenience this may have caused the applicants. It is apparent from the content of page 8 of the applicants response filed 13 July 2006 that the applicants understood the intent of the rejection of claims 13-15. The applicants have stated that the purpose of the detectably labeled nucleic acid probes recited in claims 13-15 is to help in normalizing the results of the method. It is further accepted by the Office that this is also the role of the detectably labeled probes recited in claims 1-9, 16-24, and 26.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-9 are indefinite because it is not clear if the antibody binds to the first or the second of the two RNA DNA hybrids, or whether the antibody binds to both RNA DNA hybrids.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The rejection of claim 10 in the Office action mailed 20 April 2006 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coutlee et al. is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claim filed 13 July 2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,082 Page 3

Art Unit: 1631

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The rejection of claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coutlee et al. in the Office action mailed 20 April 2006 is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claim filed 13 July 2006.

6. The rejection of claims 16-22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrico in view of Lockhart et al. in view of WO 93/10263 in the Office action mailed 20 April 2006 is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims filed 13 July 2006.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 10-26 are allowable.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Brusca whose telephone number is 571 272-0714. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on 571 272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John S. Brusca
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1631

Page 4